Committees:	Dates: 4 <sup>th</sup> March 2024
Finance & Risk Committee of the Barbican Centre Board – For Decision	4" March 2024
Barbican Centre Board – For Information	20th March 2024
Projects & Procurement Sub Committee – For Information	
Subject:	Gateway 6:
Curve Gallery refurbishment (R018CW013L)	Outcome Report
Unique Project Identifier:	Regular
Unique Project Identifier:	
11979	
Report of:	For Decision
Barbican Centre	
Report Author:	
Darren Matthias	
DUDUG	
PUBLIC	

## **Summary**

1. Status update	<b>Project Description:</b> To replace the timber flooring with concrete and replace the wall cladding with treated flame checked timber cladding.	
	RAG Status: Green at last report	
	Risk Status: Low (Low at last report to committee)	
	Costed Risk Provision Utilised: N/A	
	Final Outturn Cost: £216,337 (excluding staff costs)	
2. Next steps and	Requested Decisions:	
requested decisions	<ol> <li>To note the lessons learned section of this report and approve formal closure of this project.</li> </ol>	
	approvo formal diceard of time project.	
3. Key conclusions	The project was completed within budget and programme.	

improved its fire safety to ensure clients hiring the space feel assured their art is in a safe environment which has reduced the likelihood of reputational damage. The project has also enhanced the client/visitor experience through improved aesthetics and accessibility.

# Main Report

## **Design & Delivery Review**

4. Design into delivery	4.1) The design of the project was adequately prepared for the delivery of the project 4.2) The temporary timber floor has been replaced with concrete and dilapidated upright timers have been replaced as per design
5. Options appraisal	5.1) A gateway 1-4 outlined the possible options. The recommended and agreed option (option 3 – replacement of timber wall cladding, installation of a concrete floor throughout and the relocation of the ventilation grills) allowed the project to meet its objectives and provide long term value. Delivering this work in 2 phases mitigated the need for multiple closure periods in the curve gallery.
6. Procurement route	Four suppliers were invited to tender. One contractor was appointed via the City of London Procurement team. The project team were satisfied with the procurement process and appointment of the main contractor, particularly the smooth process and transition from tender to placing an order.
7. Skills base	The City of London project team had the required skills and experience to deliver this project. The barbican centre Curve Gallery department were a key stakeholder and were involved in the design and delivery.
8. Stakeholders	Stakeholders were engaged throughout the project lifecycle. They were heavily involved in the design and delivery and kept informed and consulted on project progress. Stakeholders are satisfied with the project outputs/outcomes.

## **Variation Review**

9. Assessment	Item	GW 1-4 Estimate	Actual
of project	Gateway 5	March 2018	8 <sup>th</sup> June 2018
against key	approval	Waren 2010	0 00/10/2010
milestones	Order placed	June 2018	8 <sup>th</sup> June 2018
	Start on site	June 2018	9 <sup>th</sup> July 2018
	Works	9 <sup>th</sup> September 2018	9 <sup>th</sup> September 2018
	Complete		
10. Assessment	The project was completed within the agreed programme.  The Outcome Report has been delayed for several reasons but primarily due to a lack of resource.  The original report author started as a temporary project manager in October 2019 as a third Barbican Centre PM however by November 2019 the other two PMs were no longer employed by the City. This necessitated 'live' projects taking priority over GW6 reports.  The lock down of the Centre due to Covid forced the two remaining officers (one temporary PM and Assistant PM) concentrate their efforts into delivering as many projects feasible whilst the Centre was accessible for contractors due to the Centre being closed.  The team continued to be understaffed until May 2022  There are a backlog of Outcome Reports, due to lack of resource and turnover of staff, which require drafting and submitting. The current project team are working their was through these and have agreed a timetable with the Corporate Programme Office for when these reports will to committee.		or several reasons but  s a temporary project d Barbican Centre PM her two PMs were no necessitated 'live' eports. o Covid forced the two PM and Assistant PM) to ring as many projects as essible for contractors offed until May 2022 eports, due to lack of the require drafting and m are working their way imetable with the
of project			
against Scope			
11.Risks and		rred during this project.	
issues	CRP was not ut	ilised in this project.	
12.Transition to BAU	The project had	a clear plan for transfer to	business as usual.
	<u> </u>		

## Value Review

13. Budget			
.01_0.0301	Estimated Outturn Cost (G2)	Estimated cost £250,000	
		At Authority to Start work (G5)	Final Outturn Cost
	Fees	£0	£0
	Staff Costs	£25,000	£10,000
	Works	£224,985	£216,337
	Costed Risk Provision	N/A	N/A
	Other*	£n/a	£n/a
	Total	£249,985	£226,337
14.Investment	Not Applicable		
		DAMA D.T. al in all and a second	56.11.4
15. Assessment of project	The project met its SMART objectives, listed below.		
against SMART	1) The temporary timber floor was replaced with concrete finish		
Objectives	2) The dilapidated wall timber was replaced		
objectives	3) The Ventilation grills were relocated to higher level for greater		
	flexibility for exhibitions 4) Works was completed without disruption to the centre's operations		
	5) The Project was	delivered within the	schedule dates
16. Key benefits realised	The key benefits, lis		
	16.1) Improvement	to our clients and vis	sitors experience
			ng international venue for
	the world class arts and learning and safety is maintained		
	16.3) compliance wi	th fire safety regulat	tions

## **Lessons Learned and Recommendations**

17. Positive reflections	<b>17.1)</b> Clear and effective communication between the project team and stakeholder ensured clarity on decisions made and project progress
	<b>17.2)</b> Detailed planning and programming helped to ensure a swift transition from BAU to construction phase and then back to BAU

18.Improvement reflections	When the concrete was mixed and poured the truck was parked on the truck lift, however over a short space of time the fumes started to fill the foyer/reception. The truck exhaust fume will need to be considered next time, i.e., a more remote methodology of transferring the liquid concrete to its destination, longer hoses, drive the truck in rather reverse it.
19. Sharing best practice	All reports (including this Outcome Reports) will be stored in the project file where project managers/users can refer to the 'Lessons Learned' section to help reduce risk and improve process of future projects.
20. AOB	This project was initiated before the project coversheet was introduced to the gateway process therefore there is no coversheet to attach as an appendix

# **Contact**

**Report Author -** Darren Matthias E: darren.matthias@barbican.org.uk

T: 07718 696824